

Cambridge International AS & A Level

BIBLICAL STUDIES

9484/21

Paper 2 The Development of Christianity

October/November 2024

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **16** printed pages.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Guidance on using levels-based mark schemes

Marking of work should be positive, rewarding achievement where possible, but clearly differentiating across the whole range of marks, where appropriate.

The marker should look at the work and then make a judgement about which level statement is the best fit. In practice, work does not always match one level statement precisely so a judgement may need to be made between two or more level statements.

Once a best-fit level statement has been identified, use the following guidance to decide on a specific mark:

- If the candidate's work **convincingly** meets the level statement, award the highest mark.
- If the candidate's work **adequately** meets the level statement, award the most appropriate mark in the middle of the range (where middle marks are available).
- If the candidate's work **just** meets the level statement, award the lowest mark.

Assessment objectives**AO1 Knowledge and understanding**

Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of specified texts and Christian teachings, beliefs and practices as recorded in the Bible.

AO2 Analysis and evaluation

Analyse, evaluate and discuss evidence, points of view and issues in Christianity.

Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks)

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 1(b), 2(b), 3(a) and 4(a)**.

Level	Description	Marks
Level 4	Detailed accurate knowledge with good understanding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses a range of detailed, accurate and relevant knowledge. • Demonstrates understanding through a well-developed response. • Fully addresses the question. • Good understanding of the context, if relevant. 	9–10
Level 3	Mostly accurate knowledge with some understanding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses a range of mostly accurate and relevant knowledge. • Demonstrates understanding through a developed response. • Addresses most aspects of the question. • Some engagement with the context, if relevant. 	6–8
Level 2	Partially accurate knowledge with limited understanding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses a range of knowledge which may be partially accurate. • Demonstrates limited understanding through a partially developed response. • Attempts to address the question. • Attempts to engage with the context, if relevant. 	3–5
Level 1	Limited knowledge and basic understanding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies a limited range of knowledge which may not be accurate. • Demonstrates basic understanding through a limited response. • Response is relevant to the topic, but does not directly address the question. • Little or no reference to the context, if relevant. 	1–2
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks)

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 1(c)** and **2(c)**.

Level	Description	Marks
Level 5	<p>Effective conclusion with analysis of points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyses the importance and/or strength of different points of view in detail. Uses accurate evidence to support a sustained and well-structured discussion. Effective conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view. 	9–10
Level 4	<p>Coherent conclusion supported by evidenced points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses different points of view in some detail. Uses accurate evidence to support a well-structured discussion. Coherent conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view. 	7–8
Level 3	<p>Satisfactory conclusion with different points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognises different points of view and discusses at least one in some detail. Uses accurate evidence to support discussion. Satisfactory conclusion to the question which is linked to a range of knowledge and points of view. 	5–6
Level 2	<p>Basic conclusion with a supported point of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses one point of view. Uses supporting evidence for one or more relevant points. The support may not be wholly relevant or accurate. Attempted conclusion to the question which is linked to knowledge and/or a point of view. 	3–4
Level 1	<p>Limited interpretation with a point of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States a point of view. Little or no supporting evidence. Attempted interpretation which may not directly address the question. 	1–2
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks)

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response for **Questions 3(b)** and **4(b)**.

Level	Description	Marks
Level 5	<p>Effective conclusion with analysis of points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyses the importance and/or strength of different points of view in detail. Uses accurate evidence to support a sustained and well-structured discussion. Effective conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge. 	13–15
Level 4	<p>Coherent conclusion supported by evidenced points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses different points of view in some detail. Uses accurate evidence to support a well-structured discussion. Coherent conclusion to the question which evaluates knowledge and points of view. 	10–12
Level 3	<p>Satisfactory conclusion with different points of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognises different points of view and discusses at least one in some detail. Uses accurate evidence to support discussion. Satisfactory conclusion to the question which is linked to a range of knowledge and points of view. 	7–9
Level 2	<p>Basic conclusion with a supported point of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses one point of view. Uses supporting evidence for one or more relevant points. The support may not be wholly relevant or accurate. Attempted conclusion to the question which is linked to knowledge and/or a point of view. 	4–6
Level 1	<p>Limited interpretation with a point of view</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States a point of view. Little or no supporting evidence. Attempted interpretation which may not directly address the question. 	1–3
Level 0	No relevant material to credit.	0

Question	Answer	Marks
EITHER		
1(a)	<p>Describe the healing that took place in Lystra after Barnabas and Paul left Iconium.</p> <p>Award up to 5 marks for AO1 Knowledge and understanding.</p> <p>Award up to five marks max. for a comprehensive answer. Either direct quotation from the text or paraphrase is acceptable All relevant material must be credited.</p> <p>Paul and Barnabas went to Lystra, where they preached the gospel (1). There was a man there whose feet had been crippled from birth. He had never been able to walk (1). He listened intently to Paul, and Paul then looked straight at him (1). Paul saw that the man had the faith to be healed (1). Paul told the man to stand up on his feet, at which the man jumped up and began to walk (1).</p> <p>Based on the account in Acts 14:7–10.</p>	5

Question	Answer	Marks
1(b)	<p>Explain what happened after the healing in Lystra.</p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lystra was a Roman colony, and the language of those settled there was Latin. What follows shows that those in the crowd consisted of native inhabitants. The crowds shouted out in their own language that the gods had come down to them. They hailed Barnabas as Zeus. Zeus was the chief Greek god, which suggests that Barnabas was the more imposing of the two. They referred to Paul as Hermes, since he was the main speaker. The crowd perhaps had in mind the legend of a visit of those gods in disguise to the area, testing the people's hospitality. When the gods were rejected, they flooded the area, and so perhaps the people of Lystra did not want to make the same mistake again. • The priest of the temple of Zeus brought bulls and garlands out, intending to sacrifice them to Barnabas and Paul. Paul had not understood what the crowd was shouting but when they saw the bulls and the garlands, they knew exactly what was about to happen. They rushed into the midst of the crowd, tearing their clothes. This was a symbolic gesture, often used at a time of mourning. It was here a symbol of grief and horror at the blasphemy being committed and they said that they were human, like everyone else there. • Probably Paul was the speaker. He proclaimed that he was bringing good news about God, using an approach that pagans might understand. It was a more basic form of the sermon he preached some years later at Athens. Reference to Jesus as the promised Messiah and to his death, resurrection, etc. would have been pointless. Instead, he appealed to the one God, who unlike the idols they worshipped, was the creator of all, and whose goodness they experienced in the bounties of nature. <p>Based on the account in Acts 14:11–20.</p>	10

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	<p>‘The events at Lystra led to the attack on Paul.’ Discuss this claim.</p> <p>Use Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <p>For the view:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The miracle that they had seen had impressed the crowd deeply. They believed it was a manifestation of the divine, and that those who had wrought it were gods. They therefore wished to honour them. The greatest honour they could give was to offer sacrifice. When Barnabas and Paul rejected it, they might well have felt insulted or at the least slighted both at what they did and said. • Because they were shouting out in their own native language, the two apostles did not understand what was going on until the crowd’s excitement was at fever pitch. It had gone beyond the point where they could easily be calmed down. • In that state of mind, the crowd probably scarcely heeded what Paul attempted to teach them. The author of Acts noted that they could scarcely be restrained from offering sacrifice. When the Jews from Pisidian Antioch and Iconium arrived, it was an easy task to win over the crowd. The pendulum swung from adoration to hatred, and so they carried out the attack. <p>Other views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reason for the attack lay in what had happened at Pisidian Antioch and Iconium. Paul’s first visit to the synagogue at Pisidian Antioch had met with considerable success, both among Jews and Godfearers. The news spread and many came to the synagogue on the following sabbath to hear Paul preach. The Jews who had not been converted were abusive. Feelings were running high. • Paul made things worse by what he said to them. He accused them of not being worthy of eternal life. This, combined with Paul’s success among the gentiles, was too much for the Jews. They stirred up trouble and drove Paul and Barnabas out. But it was clearly not going to end there. Paul and Barnabas moved on to Iconium, and here again there was a mixed response. There was some success, but the people were divided, and hearing of the intention to stone them, Paul and Barnabas fled to Lystra. • The two groups combined forces, and it was their persuasion that led to the attack on Paul. The Lystrans would not have done this without their incitement. Stoning was the Jewish form of the death penalty for blasphemy. 	10

Question	Answer	Marks
OR		
2(a)	<p>Outline what happened when Paul met disciples of John the Baptist at Ephesus.</p> <p>Award up to 5 marks for AO1 Knowledge and understanding.</p> <p>Award up to five marks max. for a comprehensive answer. Either direct quotation from the text or paraphrase is acceptable. All relevant material must be credited.</p> <p>When Paul asked if they had received the Holy Spirit, they said that they had not, and that they had not even heard of the Holy Spirit (1). Paul told them that John’s baptism was one of repentance. John had told people to believe in the one coming after him, who was Jesus (1). When the men heard this, they were baptised into the name of the Lord Jesus (1). Paul laid his hands on them, and they received the Holy Spirit (1). They spoke in tongues and prophesied. (1).</p> <p>Based on the account in Acts 19:1–6.</p>	5

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	<p>Examine the ministry of Paul in Ephesus.</p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As was his practice, Paul first preached in the synagogue and had some success. His daily preaching over a period of three months gained some converts. Eventually there was opposition, and so he and his converts left the synagogue. For two years he preached the gospel in the hall of Tyrannus. His preaching could thus be heard more widely, though the claim that it reached Jews and gentiles throughout the Roman province of Asia was an exaggeration. This followed a similar pattern to his ministry in Corinth. Like the apostles in the early church in Jerusalem, Paul performed many miracles, with the same superstitious reactions. In Jerusalem, people laid their sick relatives on mats in the street so that the apostles' shadow might fall on them. Here in Ephesus, they took back to those who were sick the sweat bands and aprons that Paul would have used in his work. All Jewish rabbis supported themselves with a manual occupation, and following in that tradition, Paul was a leatherworker. Exorcism was widely practised in the pagan world, and there were many itinerant exorcists. It was common for exorcists to add to the lists of the names used in order to make their exorcisms more potent. One group consisted of the sons of Sceva, whose claim to be a Jewish high priest would have increased his prestige amongst the superstitious pagans. They included the names of Jesus and Paul in their rituals, but their attempt backfired on them, when apparently the evil spirit being exorcised turned on them and beat them. Ephesus was famous throughout the empire not just for the temple to Artemis, but for the practice of magic. People went there to buy amulets containing scrolls with spells to cure all kinds of disease, enable pregnancy, etc. Archaeologists have found such scrolls in Europe and North Africa. The news of what had happened to the sons of Sceva spread, and some of those involved in writing spells were terrified. They brought their scrolls and, despite their value, burned them in public. <p>Based on the account in Acts 19:1–20.</p>	10

Question	Answer	Marks
2(c)	<p>Analyse the reasons for the riot at Ephesus.</p> <p>Use Table B: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question. Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The destruction of the books of spells would have angered those involved in the industry of creating and selling such spells. Some of these were very valuable and would have taken years to put together. There might have been a considerable loss of income. • Those who sold spells and the metalworkers who sold statues of Artemis to the thousands of pilgrims who came to Ephesus stood to lose income because of Paul's preaching of monotheism. They feared a downturn in trade. • The temple officials would also have been faced with a loss of income. Fertility rituals were central to worship at the temple of Artemis, and this involved cultic prostitution. • The threat to income was not the only reason. There was a spirit of religious openness in the Roman Empire, and the apparent exclusiveness of Christians went against this. Jews also were exclusive, but they did not on the whole actively proselytise. Paul and his companions, however, actively sought to convert people. • The temple officials would no doubt have seen Paul's preaching as a challenge to their authority. • The metalworkers and other people saw the proclamation of monotheism as an insult to the temple and to Artemis. Both were being discredited. 	10

Question	Answer	Marks
EITHER		
3(a)	<p data-bbox="304 315 1326 376">Examine Paul’s teaching in 1 Corinthians on the significance of the roles of Paul and Apollos for the Corinthian Church.</p> <p data-bbox="304 416 1206 477">Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p data-bbox="304 517 600 546">Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p data-bbox="304 586 560 616">Indicative content</p> <p data-bbox="304 656 1270 716">Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul data-bbox="304 757 1326 1765" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="304 757 1326 920">• The context was the squabbling among different factions in the Christian community as to whom they should support: Paul, Apollos, Cephas or Christ. Paul saw this as a sign of immaturity and justified the more basic level of his instruction in the past as having been required by their inability to cope with anything more spiritually challenging. <li data-bbox="304 925 1326 1189">• Paul was particularly concerned to put a stop to the rivalry between those who supported him and those who supported Apollos. Apollos was a Jew from Alexandria so was probably an expert in oratory and intellectual debate. He spent some time in Ephesus, but before Paul arrived at Ephesus, he went with a letter of commendation to Corinth, where he had a fruitful ministry. Apollos’ style of preaching apparently appealed to those of the Corinthian Church who prided themselves on their knowledge and wisdom. <li data-bbox="304 1193 1326 1429">• Paul set out their roles, using the metaphors of agriculture and building. He was the founder of the community, planting the seed and laying the foundations. Apollos reinforced Paul’s work, watering the seed and building on the foundations. They were God’s fellow-workers, for it was God who was the source of the establishment and development of the Corinthian Church. Paul made it clear that there was no rivalry between him and Apollos. <li data-bbox="304 1433 1326 1630">• Paul warned the community against building on the foundations with flawed materials. Their work would be subject to God’s judgement. He emphasised the seriousness of abandoning his teaching for something else, for to lead the community astray was to destroy God’s temple. They should seek the wisdom of God rather than the so-called wisdom of the world, which was empty. <li data-bbox="304 1635 1326 1765">• At the start of chapter 4, he asserted that he and Apollos should be esteemed as God’s servants, who had been entrusted with special insight. Paul stated that the only judgement he was concerned with was that of God, and so the Corinthian Christians should not pass judgement. 	10

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)	<p>‘The main purpose of Paul’s teaching in 1 Corinthians 1 and 3 was to unite the divided community.’ Evaluate this view.</p> <p>Use Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 15 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <p>For the claim:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Christian community in Corinth was in danger of breaking up into various factions. There were quarrels between those who supported Apollos, those who supported Paul, those who supported Cephas (Peter) and those who claimed to support Christ. Unless this was dealt with, the central truths of the Christian faith would be lost. Paul downplayed his role in baptizing converts in an effort to discourage these disputes. • The existence of these divisions hindered them from growing closer to Christ. Their jealousy and quarrelling showed their spiritual immaturity; they were worldly and so were not developing the gifts central to being Christian, notably love. To fulfil their calling, unity was essential. • The existence of these factions might also lead to tensions between Paul, Apollos and Peter. Any divisions between them would hinder their role as evangelists and teachers. It would set a bad example to the Christian communities they had founded. <p>Other views:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paul’s main concern was to establish his authority. His commission to preach the gospel came from Christ. He also reminded the community that he was the one who had founded it; he was the master builder. Those who followed him built on his foundation. • Paul was eager to stress the closeness of the relationship between him and Apollos. There was no rivalry. They were co-workers in the proclamation of the gospel. • Paul’s main concern was to teach the Corinthian Christians about their role in and responsibility for building up the Christian community. Whatever role they played in the community must be performed in accordance with God’s will and to the best of their ability. Their lives were to reflect the fact that they were God’s temple, filled with the Spirit. That temple should be treated with respect. 	15

Question	Answer	Marks
OR		
4(a)	<p>Examine the account of Paul’s imprisonment in Philippi, ending with his departure from the city.</p> <p>Use Table A: AO1 Knowledge and understanding (10 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 10 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Answers may include some of the following ideas, but all relevant material must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paul’s healing of a slave girl angered her owners, as she had lost her gift of divination, so they had now lost a source of income. This led to him being arrested because of their accusations that as Jews, he and Silas were promoting anti-Roman customs. Jewish practices, proselytizing included, were not in fact illegal, but from time to time, anti-Jewish feeling used them as an excuse for harassment. The way in which the men contrasted Paul and Silas as Jews with the townspeople as Romans indicated prejudice against Jews. (As a Roman colony, those living in Philippi would have been Roman citizens.) • The magistrates, believing what the owners said and presumably unsympathetic themselves to Jews, without further ado ordered them to be beaten and then securely imprisoned. They were put in the stocks in the innermost part of the prison. • The reaction of Paul and Silas was to sing hymns, and as they did this, an earthquake severely damaged the prison, making it possible for those incarcerated to escape. In the ancient world, earthquakes were commonly regarded as expressions of the divine will, and this may have been regarded by the prisoners as such on this occasion. • Fearing that the prisoners had gone and that he would be held responsible for their escape, the jailer intended to fall on his sword until Paul’s intervention. • What followed includes elements that were typical of accounts of conversion in Acts. The jailer asked what he must do to be saved. Paul proclaimed the gospel and told the jailer that belief in Jesus and baptism would bring salvation to him and his household. The jailer washed their wounds before being baptised. The baptism of the jailer and his whole household reflected the custom in the ancient world for the family and slaves to adopt the religion of the head of the household. They then shared table-fellowship, and the converts rejoiced in their new faith. • When told that his and Silas’ release were ordered by the magistrates, Paul insisted on being escorted from the city by the magistrates themselves. On learning that Paul was a Roman citizen, the magistrates did as Paul had requested, realizing that they could have been in serious trouble. After going to Lydia’s house and meeting up with the newly-established community, Paul and Silas left Philippi. <p>Based on the account in Acts 16:16–40.</p>	10

Question	Answer	Marks
4(b)	<p>Consider what was the most significant aspect of Paul's ministry in Philippi.</p> <p>Use Table C: AO2 Analysis and evaluation (15 marks) to mark candidate responses to this question.</p> <p>Award up to 15 marks.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <p>Candidates may propose, analyse and evaluate some of the following arguments. All relevant arguments must be credited.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The most significant aspect was the fact of Paul's vindication. Unlike on many other occasions, he left Philippi with his head held high and on his own terms. This would have encouraged the newly-founded church in Philippi, which might already have experienced the unpopularity that Christians often faced. • The experience of the power of God was key to everything that happened in Philippi so this might be seen as the most significant aspect. The healing of the girl and the earthquake were seen as signs of God's power in action. • The conversion of the jailer could be seen as the central feature in the account of Paul's imprisonment. It was described in great detail and followed the pattern of other notable conversions, including Paul's own: hearing the gospel, receiving baptism and sharing a meal. The joy experienced by the jailer is a typical feature of Acts. • The most significant aspect was Paul's establishment and building up of the Christian community. Paul made a point of meeting up with the community before leaving. • Some might see the part played by Lydia as highly significant in showing the role that some women were able to play in the church. She was clearly influential in the life of the early Christian community in Philippi. 	15